UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America)	
V.) Case No:	3:94CR126-7
David Lee Drayton) USM No:	11418-058
Date of Original Judgment: December 19, 1995) ———	
Date of Last Amended Judgment: July 8, 2008	Stacey D. R Defendant's A	
	Defendant 571	atomey
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)		
Upon motion of ■ the defendant □ the Director of \$3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposubsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the Unite \$994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to	osed based on ed States Sente account the p	a guideline sentencing range that has noing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. olicy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:		
■ DENIED. □ GRANTED and the defendant's p		osed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in
the last judgment issued) of		is reduced to
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANG	•	-
Original Offense Level: 39		Offense Level: 35
Criminal History Category: VI Original Guideline Range: 360 months to life		istory Category: VI Suideline Range: 292 to 365 months
II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELIN		272 to 505 months
 The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline ranger. The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than of sentencing and the reduced sentence is comparably less. The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline ranger. Other (explain): Due to the statutory mandatory minimum guideline calculations. 	n the guideline than the amen ge.	
III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS		
Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment date	ed <u>December</u>	r 19, 1995, shall remain in effect.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	a	T
Order Date: February 6, 2012	Signed:	February 6, 2012
Effective Date: (if different from order date)		O. Cogburn Jr. ed States District Judge